UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,851 | 08/09/2006 | Karlheinz Bortlik | 112701-746 | 7063 |
| | 7590 07/07/200 & LLOYD LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. Box 1135 | | | CHEN, CATHERYNE | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60690 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1655 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/07/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/597,851 | BORTLIK ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| CATHERYNE CHEN | 1655 | |

| | CATHERYNE CHEN | 1655 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better | isideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | ie issues ioi |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | - | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | _]_will not be entered, or b) ⊠_will ided below or appended. | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-9,11-16 and 22-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>17-21</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Please see last Office action. Applicant argues that all o compound, wherein the carotenoid compound is selected carotenoid compounds is isolated then the claim is anticit subjected to further physical or chemical treatment in orce | f the cited references do not teach d from the group consisting of lycop pated. In addition, Applicant argue ler to increase its content of cis-iso | "enriched cis-isomer bene, etc." As long as that the enriched car mers. The fact that the | of the carotenoid one of the otenoid must be |
| are extracted and isolated constitutes physical treatment | | nts. | |
| 12. | P10/58/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 10. [| | | |

Application No.

/Susan Coe Hoffman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080618